

Fact Sheet

Solar Finance and Ownership Options



This fact sheet is part of the *Community Planning for Solar* toolkit designed to help Massachusetts municipalities and others proactively plan for solar development in their communities. For more information, please visit: https://ag.umass.edu/solarplanning

Solar Financing and Ownership

Communities facing specific solar project development opportunities or proactively planning their solar development strategies will need to have a basic understanding of solar financing and ownership options. How solar is financed and owned has large implications on how the solar project impacts local economic benefits, risk, and capital needs. This fact sheet provides a brief introduction to these considerations for local officials and community constituents to familiarize them with how local benefits and risks contrast across the basic ownership structures available.

Note: Solar financing is complicated, especially for local ownership options. Expert financial and legal counsel should be consulted. This fact sheet and related documents should not be used as a substitute for such services.

Related Documents

Understanding and Evaluating Solar Financing and Ownership Options (Step 3, Item a), ag.umass.edu/solarplanning3: This guide provides a primer on solar financing and ownership options for a community. It provides further explanation of the standard components of solar financing and the ownership structures. It highlights how solar project cash flows accrue within or outside the local economy under different ownership structures.

Solar Financing and Ownership Options: Cash Flow Model (Step 3, Item c), ag.umass.edu/solarplanning3: This user-friendly Excel spreadsheet tool enables local communities to evaluate and compare the magnitudes and distributions of cash flows associated with available solar PV project ownership and financial options. Cash flows are tracked to recipients that are located within the local economic region of interest, and to those outside.

Clean Energy Extension



Community-Informed Solar Financing and Ownership Options: Local Cash Flow Benefits and Risks

Note: RED shading indicates more limited local cash flow benefits, and GREEN shading indicates opportunities with greatest local cash flow benefits.

MIXED shading indicates where the distribution of cash-flow benefits are significantly diminished or countered by risk considerations.

YELLOW shading for the Flip model indicates a merge of the Red attributes prior to the ownership flip, and the Green attributes afterwards.

	Third Party Ownership	Third Party Flip	Community Owned	
	Tima raity officers.iip		Taxable Entity	Non-taxable Entity
Description	Developer or third party investor provides investment capital and owns solar assets with negotiated agreement with the local host. Investor receives a rate of return sufficient to meet their corporate financial hurdle rate.	Third party investor and local taxable entity engage in financial partnership where third-party investor takes approximately 99% ownership stake for first 6-10 years, and then "flips" this ownership stake at a discounted buy-out price to the local partner. Third party provides investment capital and takes federal tax benefits and early project revenues to gain a rate of return. Local taxable partner may sell or transfer project ownership to non-profit, community choice aggregation, or municipal owner after one year.	Solar assets are wholly financed and owned by local entities. Local owners may or may not be able to access federal tax benefits. For some local owners, projects may be financially acceptable at lower rates of return.	
			Federal tax benefits are accessible. Characteristic Owners: Local businesses, for-profit cooperative, individuals or LLC with tax appetite, etc.	Federal tax benefits are not accessible. Characteristic Owners: Municipality, Community Choice Aggregation, non-profit businesses, faith-based organizations, low income individuals.
Increasing Local Benefits				
	Limited Economic Benefits	Delayed Economic Benefits	Maximum Economic Benefits	
Local Economic Benefits	Lease payment, Payment in Lieu of Taxes (PILOT), or Power Purchase Agreement (PPA) or Net Metering with marginal energy discount.	Similar benefits for Third Party Ownership for first 6-10 years, followed by full benefits of Community Ownership.		ull (or near full) project cash flows within the local economy and nomic multiplier.
Other Benefits	No investment costs. Transactional simplicity for community.	No initial investment cost, and significantly reduced investment for buy-out. May provide community with more decisionmaking in project development.		control over siting decisions, site ities, and electricity offtakers.
Risk Allocations	Risk of project development and asset ownership is on third party for full project life. Local constituents risk the opportunity cost of the site alternative usage.	Asset ownership risk transfers from original third party owner to second owner when ownership changes. Local partner may lose any costs incurred during project development and financing if project does not go forward.	operation and maintenance (0&I project life. Solar equipment war	nd asset ownership, including M), is on local constituents for full ranties and incentive-based O&M mitigate risks.

UMassAmherst

Clean Energy Extension



Additional Considerations					
	Third Party Ownership	Third Party Flip	Community Owned		
Alternative Structures	As federal Investment Tax Credit value decreases or expires, financial advantages of third-party ownership will diminish.	Mission-aligned tax-equity investors can lower required third party rates of return to support more local benefits. Financial/legal structure variations include: Partnership Flip; Sale-Leaseback; and Buy Out Option.	Solar asset can be wholly owned by singular entity such as a municipality or local business or organization. Alternatively: 1) community members can co-locate their individually owned solar panels in an array organized and managed by a cooperative; 2) community members can organize a business entity, typically an LLC, to own solar assets; 3) a community choice aggregation entity can own the asset on behalf of its ratepayers.		
Source of Equity	Third party owner, typically using nationally- based tax-equity financial institutions.	Third party owner, potentially mission-aligned tax equity investor. Local equity replaces third party as part of ownership flip financing.	Local investment capital must be raised - municipal government, firms, organizations, or constituents.		
Source of Debt	Commercial national banks.	Commercial national banks; followed by local banks, credit unions, or municipal bonds as part of ownership flip financing.	Local banks, credit unions, or municipal bonds.		
Tax Treatment	Investment Tax Credit, accelerated depreciation, and other tax benefits accrue to tax equity investor.	Investment Tax Credit, accelerated depreciation, and other tax benefits accrue to tax equity investor, prior to ownership flip.	Tax benefits remain unavailable to non tax paying entities engaged in ownership. Local for-profit corporate owners may be able to take advantage of Investment Tax Credit, accelerated depreciation, and other tax benefits. Local individual owners may access ITC with earned income or passive income, depending on financing structure.		
Electricity or Net Metering Off–Takers	Electricity flows to the grid through net metering, with virtual net metering credits assigned and bought by off-takers spread throughout utility territory. For on-site loads, host may enter Power Purchase Agreement.	Electricity is often sold to future local owner of array through a PPA, or as credits through virtual net metering to community ratepayers.	Electricity sold to an on-site host through a Power Purchase Agreement, or through virtual net metering credits to municipality, non-profit, local private owners, or as a supply for a community choice aggregation.		
Legal/Financial Status and Challenges	Established market with ample precedent.	Established model with growing precedent, introduces contractual complexities.	Limited examples and precedent, though inherently simpler than tax equity financing. Recent increased attention in this area is reducing barriers, but higher risks remain.		